|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez |
| Date | February 3, 2025 | County | Elko |
| Court | Fourth Judicial District Court Dept 3 | Judge | Mason Simons |
| Defense Attorney | Krishna Prasad | Prosecutor(s) | Jeff Slade  Deputy District Attorney |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual / w/Client | Number of Clients | 1 |
| Defendants Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Number of Clients  In Custody | 1 | Number of Clients  Out-of-Custody | 0 |
| Cases Continued  In Custody | 0 | Cases Continued  Out-of-Custody | 0 |
| Hearing Types | Arraignment | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Krishna appeared to be prepared for his case today. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Krishna appeared to be knowledgeable about his case today. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  Krishna did a good job advocating for his client during the court hearing. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  The attorney-client communication appeared to be good. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  sentencing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes (continued from reverse side):**  Krishna had one client in court today:   1. Arraignment. The client was in-custody and present in court. The client pled Guilty, pursuant to a Guilty Plea Agreement, to one count of Attempted Possession of a Stolen Motor Vehicle, a category D felony or gross misdemeanor. Following the court canvass, the court accepted the guilty plea. Pursuant to the negotiations,   **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes Continued from Previous Page:**  Sentencing is to be set out for 1 year and both sides agree to recommend that the client be released from custody pending the sentencing hearing. There was no written Stipulation or Proposed Order regarding the client’s release from custody. The court stated that it would prepare a written Order for O.R. Release in this case. Sentencing is scheduled for 2/9/2026 at 1:30 p.m. The Court ordered that a Presentencing Investigation Report be prepared.   1. Note: the client had an Arraignment in a second felony case where the client is represented by a retained attorney, Ben Gaumond. The two arraignments were heard simultaneously by the Court. The client in the retained case pled guilty, pursuant to a separate Guilty Plea Agreement, to one count of Possession of Stolen Property (a firearm), a category C felony. Following the court canvass, the court accepted the guilty plea. Pursuant to the negotiations, Sentencing is to be set out for 1 year and both sides agree to recommend that the client be released from custody pending the sentencing hearing. There was a written Stipulation or Proposed Order regarding the client’s release from custody. The court stated that it would sign the Proposed Order for O.R. Release in this case. Sentencing is scheduled for 2/9/2026 at 1:30 p.m. The Court ordered that a Presentencing Investigation Report be prepared. | | | |