|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez by Zoom video |
| Date | February 19, 2025 | County | Humboldt |
| Court | Union Justice Court | Senior Judge | Michael Mavity |
| Defense Attorney | Jeffrie Miller | Prosecutor(s) | Stephen Girardot, Deputy DA |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual / w/Client | Number of Clients | 1 |
| Defendants Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Number of Clients  In custody | 0 | Number of Clients Out-of-Custody | 1 |
| Cases Continued  In Custody | 0 | Cases Continued  Out-of-Custody | 0 |
| Hearing Types | Sentencing | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Jeffrie appeared prepared for court. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Jeffrie appeared to be knowledgeable about his case. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  Good. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  The attorney-client communication appeared to be good. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the Consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  sentencing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes:**  Jeffrie had 1 clients on calendar today. The client had a sentencing hearing. The client was convicted of DUI at a bench trial. The sentencing had been continued to today to enable the client to obtain a Substance Use Evaluation.  **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes (continued from previous page):**  The State recommended the court’s standard DUI first offense sentence.  Defense argued in mitigation and asked the court to allow the client to serve community service hours in lieu of active jail. The defense did not argue for a specific sentence.  The client waived his right of allocation.  Sentence:   * $400 fine, $125 assessment fees, $60 chemical analysis fee for a total of $585.00 The client is to pay this amount at a rate of $100 per month beginning on March 1, 2025, and continuing on the first of each month until paid in full (total due by 9/1/2025). * DUI School to be done in person within 90 days. * Victim Impact Panel may be done on line within 90 days. * Interlock Device for 185 days. * 48 hours jail with credit for time served of 12 hours. The balance of 36 hours is converted to 3 days of house arrest to be completed within 60 days.   No Review Hearing was scheduled. | | | |