|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez by Zoom video |
| Date | February 20, 2025 | County | Humboldt |
| Court | Union Justice Court | Senior Judge | Michael Mavity |
| Defense Attorney | Massey Mayo | Prosecutor(s) | Elizabeth Evans, Deputy DA  Stephen Girardot, Deputy DA  Aaron Russell, Deputy DA |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual / w/Client | Number of Clients | 8 |
| Defendants Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Number of Clients  In custody | 1 | Number of Clients Out-of-Custody | 7 |
| Cases Continued  In Custody | 0 | Cases Continued  Out-of-Custody | 3 |
| Hearing Types | Pretrials, Review, and Sentencing hearings | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Massey appeared prepared for court. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Massey appeared to be knowledgeable about her cases. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  Good. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  The attorney-client communication appeared to be good. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the Consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  sentencing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes:**  Massey had 8 clients on calendar today:   1. Massey’s first client had a pretrial set for today. The parties reached a settlement agreement. The client**,** originally charged with a DUI-2nd offense, pled guilty to DUI-1st offense. The remaining counts of that case and a civil citation were dismissed. The State recommended fines, fees, and assessments totaling $1140, 2 days jail with credit of 2 days time served, DUI School , Victim Impact Panel, and an Interlock Device for 185 days. The defense recommended the minimum statutory penalties. The court sentenced the client to: fines, fees, and assessments totaling $885, 30 days jail with 2 days active, credit time served of 2 days, and interlock device for 185 days, DUI School, and Victim Impact Panel. The client is ordered to pay $50 every month beginning 4/1/2025 toward the $885 until fully paid. A Review hearing was scheduled for 5/15/2025 at 9:00 a.m. 2. Massey’s second client was on calendar for a Pretrial Conference. The client was not present. Massey informed the court that the parties had reached a resolution of the case. The case was continued to next week for the client to appear and enter the resolution on the record. Next hearing: 2/27/2025 at 9:00 a.m.. 3. Massey’s third client was on calendar for a Pretrial Conference. The client was not present. Massey requested a continuance. The State had no objection. The case was continued to 3/6/2025 at 9:00 a.m. 4. Massey’s fourth client was set for pretrials in 2 separate cases. The parties reached a resolution in both cases.  * The client pled guilty to Obstructing a Peace Officer in one case. The other counts of that case were dismissed. * The client pled guilty to Attempted Assault. The State dismissed the Battery That Constitutes Domestic Violence charge.   Pursuant to the negotiations, the parties jointly recommend fines only and concurrent sentences: the maximum fine ($1,000) plus fees and assessments ($140) on the Obstructing charge and a minimum fine on the Attempted Assault charge to run concurrently. The client waived his right of allocation. The victim waived her right to make a victim impact statement. The court followed the joint recommendation and sentenced the client on the Obstructing an Officer charge to fines, fees and assessments totaling $1,140. The court gave the client credit for 4 days time served in jail toward the fine at a rate of $150 per day in jail leaving a balance due of $540. The court ordered the client to pay $100 per month toward the $540 beginning on 4/1/2025 and continuing on the first of each month until paid in full. The court sentenced the client to a minimum fine on the Attempted Assault charge to run concurrently.   1. Massey’s fifth client had a review hearing on a deferred sentencing. The client is doing well. The court scheduled a final review hearing for 8/7/2025 at 9:00 a.m. If the client continues to comply with the terms of the deferred sentencing, the case will be dismissed at the 8/7/2025 hearing. 2. Massey’s sixth client had a pretrial hearing on 3 cases. The client was not present but has been in communication with defense counsel. Massey represented that the parties are still trying to resolve the cases and that the defense is working on obtaining additional documents for the State to assist with the negotiations. Massey requested a 2-week continuance. The State had no objection to the continuance. The court continued the case to 4/3/2025 at 9:00 a.m. 3. Massey’s seventh client had 2 cases set for pretrial conferences. The client was not present but was in contact with Massey. The cases were set for preliminary hearing on 5/1/2025 and for one last pretrial on 4/10/2025. 4. Massey’s eighth client had a pretrial hearing on 3 cases. The parties reached a resolution involving all 3 cases. In one case, the client pled guilty to Battery (amended from Battery that Constitutes Domestic Violence). In a second case, the client pled guilty to Violation of an Extended Protective Order. In the third case, the client pled guilty to Criminal Contempt. All other charges were dismissed. Both the State and the Defense recommended fines only. The court sentenced the client to fines of $1,140 on both the Battery and Violation of Extended Protective Order charges. The court further ordered that those 2 sentences run concurrently. The court sentenced the client to a fine of $640 on the Criminal Contempt charge and ordered that fine run consecutively to the 2 other sentences. The total due is $1,780. | | | |