|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez |
| Date | May 19, 2025 | County | Pershing |
| Juvenile Court | Eleventh Judicial District Court | Judge | Jim Shirley |
| Defense Attorney | Steve Cochran  Pershing County Public Defender | Prosecutor(s) | Bryce Shields  District Attorney |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual / w/Client | Number of Clients | 1 |
| Juveniles Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Number of Clients  In custody | 1 | Number of Clients Out-of-Custody | 0 |
| Cases Continued  In Custody | 0 | Cases Continued  Out-of-Custody | 0 |
| Hearing Types | Juvenile Probation Violation Disposition hearing | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Steve appeared prepared for court. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Steve appeared to be knowledgeable about his case. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  Good. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  The attorney-client communication appeared to be good. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the Consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  sentencing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Juvenile Probation Office Report and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes:**  Steve had 1 juvenile client on today’s court calendar:   1. First Client: Probation Violation Disposition hearing. The client is in custody and present in person.  * Juvenile Probation Officer Flores asked the court to follow the recommendations contained in his Juvenile Probation Office report. Specifically, Officer Flores asked the court to sustain both petitions alleging probation violations, to order that the juvenile remain a ward of the court, that the client remain on probation, that he be ordered to enter and complete the treatment program at the Western Nevada Regional Youth Center, and that the client remain in detention until placement at WNRYC. Officer Flores informed the court that there is a bed date at WNRYC for the client tomorrow. Officer Flores also recommended that the court grant the grandmother’s Petition for Temporary Guardianship of the client. * Steve informed the court that he and his client do not object to the JPO recommendations. * The State concurred with the JPO recommendations. * The juvenile client also told the court that he agreed with the JPO recommendations. * Order: the allegations of the petitions are sustained (that the juvenile violated his probation as alleged in both petitions), that the child remain a ward of the court, that the child is ordered to complete the WNRC program, that the child remain in detention until placement at WNRC, with a bed date tomorrow, and that the grandmother’s Petition for Temporary Guardianship is granted. | | | |