|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez |
| Date | April 8, 2025 | County | Humboldt |
| Court | Sixth Judicial District Court Dept I | Judge | Michael Montero |
| Defense Attorney | Robert Dolan | Prosecutor(s) | Aaron Russell  Deputy District Attorney |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual / w/Client | Number of Clients | 1 |
| Defendants Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Number of Clients  In custody | 1 | Number of Clients Out-of-Custody | 0 |
| Cases Continued  In Custody | 0 | Cases Continued  Out-of-Custody | 0 |
| Hearing Types | Probation Violation Hearing | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Robert appeared prepared for court. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Robert appeared to be knowledgeable about his case. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  Good. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  The attorney-client communication appeared to be good. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the Consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  sentencing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes:**  Robert had one client on calendar today:   1. First client: Probation Violation hearing. The client was in custody and present in person.   The client was convicted of the offense of Possession of an Incendiary or Explosive Device, a category D felony. The client was sentenced to 12-36 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections with credit for time served of 160 days. The prison sentence was suspended and the client was placed on probation.  The client was arrested on a Bench Warrant for Non-technical Probation Violation allegations.  Robert informed the court that the client intends to admit all the violation allegations:  The court confirmed with the client that he understands that the worst possible outcome for admitting these allegations is that his probation be revoked and that he be sent to serve out his prison sentence.  The client then admitted all the probation violation allegations:   * Reporting * Residence * Directives and Conduct * Financial Obligations (P&P supervision fees)   The Court accepted the client’s admissions as made knowingly, freely and voluntarily.  Disposition:  Defense Recommendation: Client requests that his probation revoked. CTS is now 207 days (client has been in custody 47 days on the P&P hold through today.  State Recommendation: Probation should be revoked.  The client waived his right of allocation and confirms that he wants his probation revoked.  The Department of Parole and Probation Recommendation: Revocation of Probation.  Order: The client’s probation is revoked. The client is placed in the custody of the Nevada Department of Corrections to serve out his sentence, with credit for time served of 207 days. | | | |