|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez |
| Date | March 24, 2025 | County | Elko |
| Court | Fourth Judicial District Court Dept 2 | Judge | Al Kacin |
| Defense Attorney | Amanda Pellizzari | Prosecutor(s) | Amanda Zapata  Deputy District Attorney |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual / w/Client | Number of Clients | 1 |
| Defendants Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Number of Clients  In Custody | 1 | Number of Clients  Out-of-Custody | 0 |
| Cases Continued  In Custody | 1 | Cases Continued  Out-of-Custody | 0 |
| Hearing Types | Status hearing | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Amanda appeared to be prepared for her case today. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Amanda appeared to be knowledgeable about her case today. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  Amanda did a good job advocating for her client during the court hearing. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  The attorney-client communication appeared to be good. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  sentencing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes (continued from reverse side):**  Amanda had 1 client scheduled for court this afternoon:  **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes Continued from Previous Page:**  **Status hearing. The client was in custody and present in court. The client is charged with three counts: DUI Causing Death or Substantial Bodily Harm, Reckless Driving Causing Death or Substantial Bodily Harm, and Possession of a Controlled Substance. The parties previously had a Settlement Conference and reached an agreement at that conference.** However, since that time, the client has stated that he wants to retain his own counsel. He is requesting more time to hire an attorney. The underlying event occurred in September 2021. The court stated that the victims’ have rights, too. The court asked the client how much time he needed to hire counsel. The client asked for 3 additional weeks. The court continued the Status hearing for two weeks to April 7, 2025, at 10:30 a.m. | | | |