|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez |
| Date | April 1, 2025 | County | Douglas |
| Court | Ninth Judicial District Court Dept I | Judge | Nathan Tod Young |
| Defense Attorney | Mary Brown | Prosecutor(s) | Zach Wadle  Deputy District Attorney |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual / w/Client | Number of Clients | 1 |
| Juveniles Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Number of Clients  In custody | 0 | Number of Clients Out-of-Custody | 1 |
| Cases Continued  In Custody | 0 | Cases Continued  Out-of-Custody | 0 |
| Hearing Types | Disposition Hearing | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Mary appeared prepared for court. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Mary appeared to be knowledgeable about her case. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  Good. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  The attorney-client communication appeared to be good. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for release from detention? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at the Petition Hearing? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the Consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  the Disposition Hearing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Juvenile Probation Office Disposition Report and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require juvenile(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes:**  Mary had 1 juvenile on the law and motion calendar today:   1. Disposition hearing. The client was out of custody and appeared in person. The client’s father was also present.   Juvenile Probation Office Disposition Report Corrections: Mary corrected the client’s current school. The client attends Pau Wa Lu Middle School not Douglas High School. The State had no corrections. The Juvenile Probation Office, the juvenile client, and the juvenile’s parent had no corrections.  Disposition Recommendations:  Mary recommended that the court follow the JPO Disposition Report recommendations.  The client’s father stated that he is frustrated that the system has not treated the other party involved similarly. The father stated that the client is doing well at home. He has not been in trouble before. He made a mistake (bad decision).  The State recommended that the court follow the JPO Disposition Report recommendations.  The court mentioned that client has a history of bullying behavior in his school discipline records which causes some concern and does play a role in the court’s decision making regarding the disposition today.  In response, the father explained that the client was, in essence, bullying a bully (he was bullying someone who was bullying handicapped students). The father said that he coaches youth sports and as a coach he has a “no tolerance” policy regarding bullying on his teams. The father further explained that the client is learning better ways to deal with these situations that he witnesses. The client’s behavior at home has gotten significantly better. The father said that he has not heard anything recent from the school that would suggest the client is not doing well with his behavior.  The client said that he has matured and learned from this offense.  The victim had no input today.  Disposition: The court followed the recommendations of the Juvenile Probation Office Disposition Report. The court found that the client is a delinquent child. The court placed the client on Formal Probation with all standard terms and conditions of probation. The court ordered the following Special Conditions of probation:   * no contact with juvenile identified in the report. * Attend bi-weekly counseling with a licensed counselor. * The client’s father is to cooperate with the Juvenile Probation Office and report any violations committed by his son. * 24 hours of community service work. | | | |