|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DIDS Attorney Observation Report** | | **Reviewer** | Derrick Lopez by Zoom Video |
| Date | February 11, 2025 | County | Humboldt |
| Court | Sixth Judicial District Court Dept I | Judge | Michael Montero |
| Defense Attorney | Massey Mayo | Prosecutor(s) | Elizabeth Evans  Deputy District Attorney |
| Attorney Present | In Person / Virtual / w/Client | Number of Clients | 1 |
| Defendants Present | In Person / Virtual / Off-Site | Custodial Status | IC / OOC / Blend |
| Number of Clients  In custody | 0 | Number of Clients Out-of-Custody | 1 |
| Cases Continued  In Custody | 0 | Cases Continued  Out-of-Custody | 0 |
| Hearing Types | Bail Violation Hearing | | |
| **Attorney's Preparedness** | | | |
| Did the Attorney appear for court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney have the file? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to have had a substantive, confidential meeting with  each client before court? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear prepared to handle their clients' cases? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **How prepared did the Attorney appear?**  Massey appeared prepared for court. | | | |
| **How knowledgeable was the Attorney about their cases?**  Massey appeared to be knowledgeable about her case. | | | |
| **The Attorney's courtroom advocacy skills were:**  Good. | | | |
| **How was the Attorney/client communication?**  The attorney-client communication appeared to be good. | | | |
| **Case Stage-Specific Issues** | | | |
| Did the Attorney argue for pretrial release/OR, or for reasonable bail? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney counsel each client to refrain from waiving trial rights until the  attorney completed investigation of the case? | | | Yes / No / Unknown |
| Did the Attorney appear to have counseled clients to refrain from waiving any  rights at arraignment? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney appear to adequately advise clients of the Consequences of  accepting a plea or going to trial, including any collateral consequences? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney present mitigating evidence and provide argument at  sentencing? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the Attorney address the Presentence Investigation Report (PSI) and/or  Psychosexual Evaluation/Risk Assessment appropriately? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Did the court require defendant(s) to reimburse the entity for representation? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Overall Assessments** | | | |
| Does the Attorney appear to have a sustainable workload? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| Overall, does the Attorney appear to be providing effective representation to  their clients? | | | Yes / No / N/A |
| **Remarks/Recommendations/Notes:**  Massey’s client has 2 cases currently scheduled for jury trial. One case is set for trial 2/26/2025 through 2/28/2025. The other case is set for trial in March, 2025.  The client is in custody following her arrest for allegedly violating conditions of her release.  Massey informed the court that the parties had negotiated a resolution of both cases a few minutes before court started. The resolution still needs to be reduced to a written Guilty Plea Agreement. The parties agreed to recommend to the court that the client be released on her Own Recognizance today. The client shall obtain a Substance Use Evaluation as soon as possible. The client shall apply for entry into the Drug Court program. The parties request that the hearing on Violation of Bail Conditions be vacated. The parties stipulate to vacate the trial dates and set a court date for entry of plea / change of plea on 2/18/2025.  Note: Client has been in custody for 5 weeks now.  Pretrial Services asked the Court to keep the client in custody until she obtains the Substance Use Evaluation because of past difficulties of the client getting that evaluation completed.  Order: a Change of Plea hearing shall be held on 2/18/2025. The client is ordered released from custody on her own recognizance with no Pretrial Services conditions. The client shall obtain a Substance Use Evaluation. The court is not vacating the trial dates yet. Pretrial in both cases is set for 2/18/2025. | | | |